



**CLARKSVILLE URBANIZED AREA
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**

Stan Williams
MPO Director
stanwilliams@cityofclarksville.com

329 MAIN STREET
CLARKSVILLE, TN 37040
PHONE: (931)645-7448

Jill Hall
Transportation Planner
jhall@cityofclarksville.com

April 29, 2009

Mrs. Nancy Sartor, Manager 2
Local Program, TDOT
J. K. Polk Bldg., Suite 600
Nashville, TN 37243

RE: Clarksville Urbanized Area MPO TIP Amendment #9

Dear Mrs. Sartor:

The Clarksville Urbanized Area MPO Technical Coordinating Committee met on April 29, 2009 to recommend approval of an amendment to the FY2008-2011 Transportation Improvement Program. The Clarksville Urbanized Area MPO Executive Board met on April 29, 2009 and approved the recommended TIP amendment #9. The detailed TIP amendment #9 is attached, and was made available for public comment on April 10, 2009 and was subject to all required public participation requirements set forth in our Public Participation Plan.

The MPO has determined that the amendment #9 to the project will result in the TIP remaining fiscally constrained. TIP amendment #9 conforms with the State Implementation Plan (SIP) and complies with the Clean Air Act, the Transportation Conformity Regulation, the Statewide and Metropolitan Planning Regulation and other applicable Federal and State requirements.

The formal public participation process began with the publication of the MPO TCC meeting and the MPO Executive Board meeting on April 10, 2009 in three publications: The Kentucky New Era, The Clarksville Leaf Chronicle and The El Crucero. No public comments were received at the public meeting or during the public comment period.

The Interagency Consultation Committee agreed said projects were exempt, per the Federal regulations 40 CFR part 93.126. This action did not change the most recent conformity determination made on July 28, 2005. Therefore, a new air quality conformity determination is not required under the Transportation Conformity Rule (62 FR 43779, 8/15/1997).

The attached resolutions outline the findings of the Clarksville Urbanized Area MPO Executive Board in taking this action to amend the FY2008-2011 TIP. These findings demonstrate that the TIP is a subset of the 2030 LRTP. It is therefore the request by the Clarksville Urbanized Area MPO that the TIP amendment be forwarded to the appropriate federal agencies for approval and be amended into the State of Tennessee State wide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

Should you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience at (931) 645-7448 or by email.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Stan Williams". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "J" and a long, sweeping underline.

J. Stan Williams
Transportation Planning Director

Attachment



**CLARKSVILLE URBANIZED AREA
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**

Stan Williams
MPO Director
stanwilliams@cityofclarksville.com

329 MAIN STREET
CLARKSVILLE, TN 37040
PHONE: (931)645-7448

Jill Hall
Transportation Planner
jhall@cityofclarksville.com

To: Nancy Sartor, Manager 2, TDOT Local Programs

From: Stan Williams, Transportation Director

Subject: Completeness Determination – Clarksville Urbanized Area MPO FY2008-2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendment #9

Date: April 29, 2009

The Clarksville Urbanized Area MPO has determined that the requested TIP amendment #9 is complete. After the MPO Staff review, the following determinations were made:

1. The amendment is consistent with the MPO Executive Board adopted Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP).
2. Funding sources and revised tables are attached with each project.

Inter-Agency Consultation:

The TIP amendment is included in the 2030 LRTP and the IAC did concur that the amendment has an exempt status.

Dates and Locations of Consideration of Amendment:

MPO Technical Coordinating Committee, 11:00 AM, Wednesday, April 29, 2009, Lower Level, 329 Main Street, Clarksville, TN 37040.

MPO Executive Board, 11:00 AM, Wednesday, April 29, 2009, Lower Level, 329 Main Street, Clarksville, TN 37040.

Date for Publication of Public Notices:

April 10, 2009

Copy of the TIP Pages for the Amendments and demonstration of Existing Fiscal Constraint:

The TIP amendment and Resolution is attached. Individual TIP pages have been included showing the new amended TIP page and the original TIP page. The tables have been revised and included to show that the TIP remains fiscally constrained.

Transportation Improvement Program

Transportation Conformity Check List

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and all amendments must include a conformity report. The conformity report must address each item below in order for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to provide approval for inclusion of the TIP into the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Please submit a copy of this checklist and the conformity report with your request to add projects to the STIP.

1) Identify which of the following applies to this TIP/TIP amendment:

- a) The report states that the TIP/TIP amendment is a subset of the most recently approved, conforming Long Range Transportation Plan, and that the conformity determination made for the Transportation Plan also applies to the TIP (40 CFR 93.122) and a copy of the FHWA/FTA conformity finding is included.

(OR)

- b) The report states that projects included in the TIP/TIP amendment are consistent with the conforming LRTP and identifies how they meet the requirements of 40 CFR 93.122 (i.e. the project is not regionally significant; does not impact the timing/funding of projects previously included in the conformity determination; project is exempt from conformity.) and a copy of the FHWA/FTA conformity finding is included.

(OR)

- c) The report states that the TIP/TIP amendment is subject to a new conformity finding and that the appropriate documentation to support the requirements of 40 CFR 93.109 is included in the document.

<<<Note: For new conformity findings, use the Long Range Transportation Plan Conformity Checklist in addition to the Transportation Improvement Program Conformity Checklist.>>>

- 2) The report documents that the TIP/TIP amendment conforms with the State Implementation Plan (SIP) and complies with the Clean Air Act, the Transportation Conformity Regulation, the Statewide and Metropolitan Planning Regulations, and other applicable Federal and state requirements.
- 3) The report contains a copy of each of the following:
- Adopting Resolution for the TIP/TIP amendment;
 - Adopting Resolution for the applicable Conformity Determination.
- 4) The report provides cross-references for projects listed in the TIP/TIP amendment to those listed in the Transportation Plan. (cross-reference may be accomplished through numbering system, or consistent project naming).
- 5) The report documents the public participation process of the TIP/TIP amendment including any comments raised verbally or in writing and how the MPO addressed raised issues; or the report states that no comments were received.
- 6) The report identifies the interagency consultation process used in the development of the TIP/TIP amendment according to 40 CFR 93.105; and includes any comments raised and how the MPO addressed raised issues; or the report states that no comments were received.

CUAMPO

Old

TIP #	53	TDOT PIN #		Priority	A	Lead Agency	CUAMPO
County	Montgomery	Length	4.4 mi	L RTP#	E+C	Conformity Status	
Route/Project Name	SR-48					Total Project Cost	\$30,000,000
Termini or Intersection	from SR-13 to SR-236						
Project Description	Widening from 2 to 5 lanes						

Fiscal Year	Type of Work	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
2008	PE	L-STP	1,300,000	1,040,000	260,000	0
2009	ROW & CONST	L-STP	3,570,000	2,856,000	714,000	0
			0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0

Remarks	Amendment Number	0	Adjustment Number	0



CUAMPO

New

TIP #	53	TDOT PIN #		Priority	A	Lead Agency	CUAMPO
County	Montgomery	Length	4.4 mi	L RTP #	E+C	Conformity Status	
Route/Project Name	SR-48			Total Project Cost	\$0		
Termini or Intersection	from SR-13 to SR-236						
Project Description	Widening from 2 to 5 lanes						

Fiscal Year	Type of Work	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
2008	PE	L-STP	0	0	0	0
2009	ROW & CONST	L-STP	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0

Remarks	Amendment Number	9	Adjustment Number	0
Amendment #9 Exe Brd approved 4-29-09 to remove L-STP funding for PE in FY08 and remove L-STP funding for ROW and Const in FY09. Total Fed L-STP removed is \$3,896,000.00				



Table 1
 Summary of L-STP Funds
 Federal Share Only

L-STP Funding Table (TDOT)

FY2008 Allocation	+	\$1,091,888
Available to Spend	=	\$6,776,177
Projects Programmed	-	\$1,040,000
Remaining 2008	=	\$5,736,177
2009		
FY2009 Allocation	+	\$1,297,258
Available to Spend	=	\$7,033,435
Projects Programmed	-	\$2,856,000
Remaining 2009	=	\$4,177,435
2010		
FY2010 Allocation *	+	\$1,279,197
Available to Spend	=	\$5,456,632
Projects Programmed	-	\$0
Remaining 2010	=	\$5,456,632
2011		
FY2011 Allocation *	+	\$1,279,197
Available to Spend	=	\$6,735,829
Projects Programmed	-	\$0
Remaining 2011	=	\$6,735,829

* L-STP allocations for FY10 - FY11 are yet to be determined by TDOT.

New
(Amendment #9)

Table 1
Summary of L-STP Funds
Federal Share Only

L-STP Funding Table (TDOT)

FY2008 Allocation	+	\$1,091,888
Available to Spend	=	\$6,776,177
Projects Programmed **	-	\$0
Remaining 2008	=	\$6,776,177
2009		
FY2009 Allocation	+	\$1,297,258
Available to Spend	=	\$8,073,435
Projects Programmed	-	\$0
Remaining 2009	=	\$8,073,435
2010		
FY2010 Allocation *	+	\$1,279,197
Available to Spend	=	\$9,352,632
Projects Programmed	-	\$0
Remaining 2010	=	\$9,352,632
2011		
FY2011 Allocation *	+	\$1,279,197
Available to Spend	=	\$10,631,829
Projects Programmed	-	\$0
Remaining 2011	=	\$10,631,829

* L-STP allocations for FY10 - FY11 are yet to be determined by TDOT.

Old

Tennessee Funding Table 3
(By Year of Expenditure)

(As of 3-11-09)

Funding Source	FY2008 Available	FY2009 Available	FY2010 Available	FY2011 Available
Enhancement Grants	\$2,388,388	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP-L (High Priority Projects)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
IM (Interstate Maintenance)	\$54,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000
BRR-L	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000
CMAQ (Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Impr)	\$1,828,240	\$820,515	\$465,135	\$734,755
L-STP	\$6,776,177	\$7,033,435	\$5,456,632	\$6,735,829
SRTS (Safe Routes to School)	\$72,025	\$0	\$0	\$0
Economic Stimulus	\$0	\$2,300,000	\$0	\$0
Local Match	\$1,630,207	\$211,000	\$35,000	\$35,000
NHS (National Highway Systems)	\$1,072,000	\$160,000	\$160,000	\$160,000
STP (State Surface Transportation Program)	\$11,464,000	\$6,776,000	\$248,000	\$248,000
BRR-S	\$6,480,000	\$88,000	\$16,000	\$16,000
PLHD	\$452,760	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000
HPP	\$5,284,123	\$4,160,000	\$0	\$0
State Match	\$5,247,884	\$3,438,000	\$1,108,000	\$1,108,000
Total	\$43,737,804	\$25,992,950	\$8,494,767	\$10,043,584
Amount Programmed to be Spent				
Enhancement Grants	\$2,388,388	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP-L (High Priority Projects)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
IM (Interstate Maintenance)	\$54,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000
BRR-L	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000
CMAQ (Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Impr)	\$1,387,345	\$735,000	\$110,000	\$110,000
L-STP	\$1,040,000	\$2,856,000	\$0	\$0
SRTS (Safe Routes to School)	\$72,025	\$0	\$0	\$0
Economic Stimulus	\$0	\$2,300,000	\$0	\$0
Local Match	\$1,630,207	\$211,000	\$35,000	\$35,000
NHS (National Highway Systems)	\$1,072,000	\$160,000	\$160,000	\$160,000
STP (State Surface Transportation Program)	\$11,464,000	\$6,776,000	\$248,000	\$248,000
BRR-S	\$6,480,000	\$88,000	\$16,000	\$16,000
PLHD	\$452,760	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000
HPP	\$5,284,123	\$4,160,000	\$0	\$0
State Match	\$5,247,884	\$3,438,000	\$1,108,000	\$1,108,000
Total	\$37,560,732	\$21,730,000	\$2,683,000	\$2,683,000
Amount Remaining				
Enhancement Grants	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP-L (High Priority Projects)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
IM (Interstate Maintenance)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BRR-L	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CMAQ (Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Impr)	\$440,895	\$85,515	\$355,135	\$624,755
L-STP	\$5,736,177	\$4,177,435	\$5,456,632	\$6,735,829
SRTS (Safe Routes to School)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Economic Stimulus	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Match	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS (National Highway Systems)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
STP (State Surface Transportation Program)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Old (cont.)

BRR-S	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PLHD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Match	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$6,177,072	\$4,262,950	\$5,811,767	\$7,360,584

New

Tennessee Funding Table 3
(By Year of Expenditure)

(As of 4-29-09)

Amendment # 9

Funding Source	FY2008 Available	FY2009 Available	FY2010 Available	FY2011 Available
Enhancement Grants	\$2,388,388	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP-L (High Priority Projects)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
IM (Interstate Maintenance)	\$54,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000
BRR-L	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000
CMAQ (Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality)	\$1,828,240	\$820,515	\$465,135	\$734,755
L-STP	\$6,776,177	\$8,073,435	\$9,352,632	\$10,631,829
SRTS (Safe Routes to School)	\$72,025	\$0	\$0	\$0
Economic Stimulus	\$0	\$2,300,000	\$0	\$0
Local Match	\$1,630,207	\$211,000	\$35,000	\$35,000
NHS (National Highway Systems)	\$1,072,000	\$160,000	\$160,000	\$160,000
STP (State Surface Transportation Progra	\$11,464,000	\$6,776,000	\$248,000	\$248,000
BRR-S	\$6,480,000	\$88,000	\$16,000	\$16,000
PLHD	\$452,760	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000
HPP	\$5,284,123	\$4,160,000	\$0	\$0
State Match	\$4,987,884	\$2,724,000	\$1,108,000	\$1,108,000
Total	\$43,477,804	\$26,318,950	\$12,390,767	\$13,939,584
Amount Programmed to be Spent				
Enhancement Grants	\$2,388,388	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP-L (High Priority Projects)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
IM (Interstate Maintenance)	\$54,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000
BRR-L	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$88,000
CMAQ (Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality)	\$1,387,345	\$735,000	\$110,000	\$110,000
L-STP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SRTS (Safe Routes to School)	\$72,025	\$0	\$0	\$0
Economic Stimulus	\$0	\$2,300,000	\$0	\$0
Local Match	\$1,630,207	\$211,000	\$35,000	\$35,000
NHS (National Highway Systems)	\$1,072,000	\$160,000	\$160,000	\$160,000
STP (State Surface Transportation Progra	\$11,464,000	\$6,776,000	\$248,000	\$248,000
BRR-S	\$6,480,000	\$88,000	\$16,000	\$16,000
PLHD	\$452,760	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000
HPP	\$5,284,123	\$4,160,000	\$0	\$0
State Match	\$4,987,884	\$2,724,000	\$1,108,000	\$1,108,000
Total	\$36,260,732	\$18,160,000	\$2,683,000	\$2,683,000
Amount Remaining				
Enhancement Grants	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP-L (High Priority Projects)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
IM (Interstate Maintenance)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BRR-L	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CMAQ (Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality)	\$440,895	\$85,515	\$355,135	\$624,755
L-STP	\$6,776,177	\$8,073,435	\$9,352,632	\$10,631,829
SRTS (Safe Routes to School)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Economic Stimulus	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Match	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS (National Highway Systems)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
STP (State Surface Transportation Progra	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

New (cont.)

BRR-S	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PLHD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Match	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$7,217,072	\$8,158,950	\$9,707,767	\$11,256,584

Hall, Jill

From: Nellie Patton [Nellie.Patton@tn.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 6:57 AM
To: Hall, Jill
Subject: Re: FW: FW: Concurrence for amendments for 4/20/09 MPO meeting

I concur.

Nellie

Nellie B. Patton, Manager 2
TDOT Division of Multimodal Transportation Resources
Passenger Transportation Office
1800 Polk Bldg.
Nashville, TN 37243
Work - (615) 741-2781

>>> "Hall, Jill" <jhall@cityofclarksville.com> 4/23/2009 4:02 PM >>>
Dianna Smith asked that I submit a Conformity Determination Report and make a correction to the resolution for Project TIP#66 Dunbar/Rossvie Road (SR237). Attached is the corrected resolution and the Conformity Determination Report, which has been reviewed by Dianna, EPA and Tameka, FHWA. I'm asking for your concurrence with said conformity determination report.

-----Original Message-----

From: Smith.Dianna@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Smith.Dianna@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 3:43 PM
To: Hall, Jill
Cc: Williams, Stan
Subject: Re: FW: Concurrence for amendments for 4/20/09 MPO meeting

I looks good to me.

Dianna B. Smith
Environmental Scientist
Air Quality Modeling and Transportation
(404) 562-9207
e-mail smith.dianna@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and any attachments from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency may contain CONFIDENTIAL and legally protected information. If you are not the addressee or intended recipient, please do not read, print, copy, use or disclose this communication to others; also, please notify the sender by replying to this message, and then delete it from your system.

"Hall, Jill"
<jhall@cityofclarksville.com>

To

4/27/2009

Dianna Smith/R4/USEPA/US@EPA
04/23/2009 04:27 PM cc
"Williams, Stan"
<stanwilliams@cityofclarksville.com>
Subject
FW: Concurrence for amendments
for 4/20/09 MPO meeting

Dianna,
I think from Tameka's email below that FHWA is fine with it. I have made her change in the Resolution. I would like to send it out to the IAC in the morning, but wanted your input before I did.
Thanks for all the help,
Jill

-----Original Message-----

From: Tameka.Macon@dot.gov [mailto:Tameka.Macon@dot.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 1:56 PM
To: Hall, Jill; Cecilia.Crenshaw@dot.gov
Cc: smith.dianna@epa.gov; Williams, Stan; Deborah.Fleming@state.tn.us
Subject: RE: Concurrence for amendments for 4/20/09 MPO meeting

Hi Jill:
Within your resolution statement change the sentence to say that the project is being realigned and widened from "2 to 5 lanes" instead of just saying it's being widened to 5 lanes.
I think you are good to go after that....

Hopefully, I will see you and Stan on the 29th!

Thanks,
Tameka

-----Original Message-----

From: Hall, Jill [mailto:jhall@cityofclarksville.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 9:39 AM
To: Crenshaw, Cecilia <FHWA>; Macon, Tameka <FHWA>
Cc: Dianna Smith; Williams, Stan
Subject: FW: Concurrence for amendments for 4/20/09 MPO meeting

Cecilia/Tameka,
Dianna Smith, EPA told us that Dunbar/RossvieRoad was not an exempt project. We are widening from a 2-5 lane Rossvie Road(SR237), therefore adding capacity. This was modeled in our LRTP. I have attached the conformity determination report she asked us to do. Will you please review and let me know if this is acceptable to you and if all other parts of the amendment is done correctly if it will be ok. Dianna is out until this afternoon and we hope she will give her concurrence after reviewing the conformity determination report also.

4/27/2009

Please let me know either way.
Thanks for your help,
Jill

-----Original Message-----

From: Smith.Dianna@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Smith.Dianna@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2009 4:19 PM

To: Hall, Jill

Cc: Abigail Rivera; Alan Jones; Amy Thomas; Angela Midgett; Bernadette Dupont; Cecilia Crenshaw; David Whitworth; Deborah Fleming; Dironna Belton; Jeffery Anoka; Jesse Mayes; John Gowins; Smith, Jimmy (Transit); Kevin McClearn; Benjamin.Lynorae@epamail.epa.gov; Marc Corrigan; Marc McAdoo; Nellie Patton; Williams, Stan; Tameka Macon; Vicki Bourne; Smith.Dianna@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Re: Concurrence for amendments for 4/20/09 MPO meeting

Hi Jill,

I reviewed your list of projects for the amendment. Based on the description and our phone conversation, project #66 is a nonexempt project. Since the horizon year remains the same, you can rely on a previous emissions analysis. I forwarded you an example of a short conformity determination report to use as an example. Let me know if you have questions. Also, the construction year in the Resolution Statement needs to be changed from 2010 to 2011. Let me know if you have questions.

Dianna B. Smith
Environmental Scientist
Air Quality Modeling and Transportation
(404) 562-9207
e-mail smith.dianna@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and any attachments from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency may contain CONFIDENTIAL and legally protected information. If you are not the addressee or intended recipient, please do not read, print, copy, use or disclose this communication to others; also, please notify the sender by replying to this message, and then delete it from your system.

"Hall, Jill"
<jhall@cityofclarksville.com>

To

04/16/2009 11:18 AM
"Abigail Rivera" <Abigail.Rivera@dot.gov>, "Alan Jones" <alan.jones@state.tn.us>, "Amy Thomas" <amy.thomas@ky.gov>, "Angela Midgett" <Angela.Midgett@state.tn.us>, "Bernadette Dupont" <bernadette.dupont@fhwa.dot.gov>, "Cecilia Crenshaw" <cecilia.crenshaw@fhwa.dot.gov>, "David Whitworth" <david.whitworth@dot.gov>,

4/27/2009

"Deborah Fleming"
 <Deborah.Fleming@state.tn.us>,
 Dianna Smith/R4/USEPA/US@EPA,
 "Dironna Belton"
 <Dironna.Belton@state.tn.us>,
 "Jeffery Anoka"
 <Jeffery.Anoka@dot.gov>, "Jesse
 Mayes" <jesse.mayes@ky.gov>,
 "Jimmy Smith"
 <jsmith@cityofclarksville.com>,
 "John Gowins"
 <John.Gowins@ky.gov>, "Kevin
 McClearn"
 <Kevin.McClearn@ky.gov>, Lynorae
 Benjamin/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, "Marc
 Corrigan"
 <marc.corrigan@state.tn.us>,
 "Marc McAdoo"
 <mark.mcadoo@state.tn.us>,
 "Nellie Patton"
 <nellie.patton@state.tn.us>,
 "Tameka Macon"
 <tameka.macon@fhwa.dot.gov>,
 "Vicki Bourne"
 <vickie.bourne@ky.gov>

cc

"Williams, Stan"
 <stanwilliams@cityofclarksville.c
 om>

Subject

Concurrence for amendments for
 4/20/09 MPO meeting

I have attached the resolutions and TIP pages for amendment #9, #10, #11, #12, #13 that we will present on April 29, 2009. I am asking for your concurrence that the amendments are already included in the conforming LRTP, TIP and the Air Quality Model; and no further actions should be taken.

Amendment #9 - is to amend the TIP - TIP #53 SR-48/Trenton Road, for the removal of L-STP funds

Amendment #10 - is to amend the TIP- TIP #49 State Route 3R improvements for the addition of stimulus funds

Amendment #11 - is to amend the TIP - For revision of transit 2010 budget on existing projects

Amendment #12 - is to amend the TIP - TIP #10 Ft. Defiance Interpretation and Trails for the addition of L-STP funds to finish construction phase of the Enhancement Project

Amendment #13 - is to amend the TIP - TIP #65 Realign Oakland Road, TIP #66 Dunbar Cave/Rossvie Rd realignment and widening, TIP#67 Sango Rd @ SR 76 adding turn lanes and intersection improvements, TIP#68 SR112 @ McAdoo Creek Rd a signalization and turn lanes, TIP #57 Biodiesel

4/27/2009

Processing System addition of funds for another system, TIP #54 Safe Routes to School Bucket adding SRTS funds
Amendment #9, #10, #11, #12 are exempt per Federal regulations 40 CFR part 93.126
Amendment #13 - TIP #65, TIP#66, TIP#57, TIP #54 are exempt per Federal Regulations 40 CFR part 93.126. TIP #67 and TIP #68 are exempt per Federal Regulations 40 CFR part 93.127
Please have your replies to us by Thursday, April 22, 2009. We will assume that any non-response will be a concurrence.
Thank you, Jill Hall
Clarksville MPO

"This message may contain confidential and/or proprietary information, and is intended for the person/entity to whom it was originally addressed. Any use by others is strictly prohibited."

"This message may contain confidential and/or proprietary information, and is intended for the person/entity to whom it was originally addressed. Any use by others is strictly prohibited."

(See attached file: IACResCTS.pdf)(See attached file: IACResTIPPages042909.pdf)

(See attached file: RevisedConformityReportAmd13.doc)(See attached file: 042909Res200914DunbarRossvie.doc)

4/27/2009