Clarksville Urbanized Area Metropolitan Planning Organization's

Short Conformity Determination Report for the 1997 Ozone NAAQS

Associated with the:

Amendment KYTC #2 - 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

Amendment KYTC #4 -FY2023-FY2026 TIP

July 10, 2025

Prepared by the Clarksville MPO

The preparation of this document was financed cooperatively by the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, the Tennessee Department of Transportation, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet and the units of local and county government in the Clarksville MPO area. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this document are those of the Clarksville MPO and are not necessarily those of the U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Amendment KYTC #2 amends the Clarksville Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO's) 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), to amend Table 7: Fiscally Constrained Projects, page 36 by adding MTP ID #93 to the Stage 2 (2027-2030) Section of Table 7, with National Highway **FBP** (NH) funding with total cos t of \$29,300,000 and funding with total of \$7.000.000 MTP ID#93 is removed from Table MPO's cost 8: Visionary (Unfunded) Transportation Improvements, page 41. Table 9: Financial Summary, page 42 the following changes were made.

- Added NH funding amount for MTP ID #93 into the Table under the Stage 2 (2027-2030) columns in the amount of \$23,440,000 federal funds.
- Added FBP funding amount for MTP ID #93 into the Table under the Stage 2 (2027-2030) columns in the amount of \$5,600,000 federal funds
- Added additional funds to the State Match KY in the amount of \$7,260,000 under the Stage 2 (2027-2030) columns for the 20% match required with the NH and FBP funds.
- Added these funds, which total \$29,300,000 and \$7,000,000 in the bottom line of Table 9 under "Total MTP" under the Stage 2 (2027-2030) columns.

Amendment KYTC #4 amends the Clarksville MPO's FY2023 - FY2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), to add Federal Bridge Program (FBP) funds for CONST to TIP ID #16, I-24/ KY-115 Interchange (Exit 89). The project termini is "I-24/KY-115 interchange (Exit 89); BMP: 88.10 to EMP: 89.40" with the length of 1.30 miles. The project description is to improve capacity and mobility of the I-24/KY-115 interchange (Exit 89), in Christian County, KY (2022BOP) (2024CCR). The total cost estimate remains \$30,000,000. The work to be done will be reconstructing ramps and adding a center lane to KY-115 interchange. The project name will change to 2-10.00 & 2-10.10; I-24/KY115 Interchange (Exit 89). The FBP funding has been added to the 2026 Construction phase for \$7,000,000. KYTC has divided the work into two phases with ID#s (2-10.00 and 2-10.10). The Long Range Plan # changes to Table 7 pg. 36; ID#93 with the above amendment to the 2050 MTP.

Clean Air Act (CAA) section 176(c) (42 U.S.C. 7506(c)) requires that federally funded or approved highway and transit activities are consistent with ("conform to") the purpose of the State Implementation Plan (SIP). Conformity to the purpose of the SIP means that transportation activities will not cause or contribute to new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the relevant NAAQS or any interim milestones (42 U.S.C. 7506(c)(I)). EPA's transportation conformity rules establish the criteria and procedures for determining whether metropolitan transportation plans, transportation improvement programs (TIPs), and federally supported highway and transit projects conform to the SIP (40 CFR Parts 51.390 and 93).

On February 16, 2018, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in South Coast Air Quality Mgmt. District v. EPA ("South Coast II"), 882 F.3d 1138) held that transportation conformity determinations must be made in areas that were either nonattainment or maintenance for the 1997 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS when the 1997 ozone NAAQS was revoked. These conformity determinations are required in these areas after February 16, 2019. The Clarksville Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) was "maintenance" at the time of the 1997 ozone NAAQS revocation on April 6, 2015 and was also designated attainment for the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAQS on July 20, 2012 and August 3, 2018 respectively.

This Conformity Determination Report (CDR) is being written for the 2050 MTP to address the 1997 ozone NAAQS, and is consistent with CAA requirements, existing associated regulations at 40 CFR Parts 51.390 and 93, and EPA's *Transportation Conformity Guidance for the South Coast II Court Decision* issued on November 29, 2018.

TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY PROCESS

The concept of transportation conformity was introduced in the CAA of 1977, which included a provision to ensure that transportation investments conform to a SIP for meeting the federal air quality standards. Conformity requirements were made substantially more rigorous in the CAA Amendments of 1990. The transportation conformity regulations that detail implementation of the CAA requirements were first issued in November 1993, and have been amended several times. The regulations establish the criteria and procedures for transportation agencies to demonstrate that air pollutant emissions from MTPs, TIPs and projects are consistent with ("conform to") the State's air quality goals in the SIP. This document has been prepared for State and local officials who are involved in decision making on transportation investments.

Transportation conformity is required under CAA Section 176(c) to ensure that Federally-supported transportation activities are consistent with ("conform to") the purpose of a State's SIP. Transportation conformity establishes the framework for improving air quality to protect public health and the environment. Conformity to the purpose of the SIP means Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funding, approvals are given to highway, and transit activities that will not cause new air quality violations, worsen existing air quality violations, or delay timely attainment of the relevant air quality standard, or any interim milestone.

On June 15, 2004, EPA designated both Montgomery and Christian Counties under subpart 1 of the CAA and as such is referred to as a "Basic" 8-hour ozone nonattainment area for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Basic 8-hour ozone nonattainment areas had attained the 8-hour ozone standard no later than June 15, 2009. On November 21, 2005, Montgomery County was redesignated as attainment with a maintenance plan. On February 24, 2006, Christian County was re-designated as attainment with a maintenance plan. Both counties maintained the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS and continue to be in compliance with the 2008 and 2015, 8-hour ozone NAAQS. On January 3, 2022 and October 31, 2022, EPA approved a second 10-yr Limited Maintenance Plan for the Montgomery County Area and Christian County Area respectively to provide for the maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS through 2025 the end of the second 10-year portion of the maintenance period. The Federal Register Notice is in Appendix D.

CUAMPO's planning boundary includes all of Montgomery County, Tennessee and the southernmost portion of Christian County, Kentucky including the City of Oak Grove. The remaining portion of Christian County which is outside the planning boundary, is considered a "donut" area for the purposes of implementing transportation conformity.

2050 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN (MTP)

The updated 2050 MTP is the long-range transportation plan for the Clarksville MPA and replaces the 2045 MTP. The 2050 MTP and the associated CDR for KY and TN were adopted on January 11, 2024. A performance-based approach to metropolitan transportation planning was utilized in the development of 2050 MTP, which sets a regional vision and course of action for addressing the transportation needs of the Clarksville MPA over the next twenty-six years. The 2050 MTP Amendment KYTC #2 for adoption by the MPO Executive Board is in Appendix A.

FY2023-FY2026 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

The amended FY2023-FY2026 (October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2026) TIP is a subset of the 2050 MTP. All of the projects in the FY2023-FY2026 TIP are in the 2050 MTP. The FY2023-FY2026 TIP was adopted October 19, 2022 and is part of the Tennessee's and Kentucky's STIP.

Under federal law, the TIP:

- Is developed by the MPO in cooperation with the States and public transit operators,
- Must be consistent on a project level with the approved 2050 MTP,
- Must include all regionally significant projects and those funded with federal transportation funds,
- Must include a financial plan demonstrating how the approved TIP can be implemented with existing and anticipated revenue,
- Must be incorporated directly, without change, into the STIP, and
- Must include performance measures and the anticipated effects of the TIP on achieving those targets.

The FY2023-FY2026 TIP is a product of the ongoing transportation planning process of the Clarksville MPO. The TIP identifies the timing and funding of all highway, bridge, transit, bicycle, pedestrian, and other surface transportation projects scheduled for implementation over the next four years. This document identifies planned transportation projects and projected revenues during the time period of FY2023 to FY2026 and ensures coordination of transportation improvements by local, state, and federal agencies. The FY2023-FY2026 TIP Amendment KYTC #4 for adoption by the MPO Executive Board is in Appendix B.

TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY DETERMINATION: GENERAL PROCESS

Per the court's decision in South Coast II, beginning February 16, 2019, a transportation conformity determination for the 1997 ozone NAAQS will be needed in 1997 ozone NAAQS nonattainment and maintenance areas identified by EPA¹ for certain transportation activities, including updated or amended MTPs and TIPs. US DOT made its 1997 ozone NAAQS conformity determination for the 2050 MTP on March 7, 2024 and 1997 ozone NAAQS conformity determination approval for the FY2023-FY2026 TIP on January 27, 2023. Transportation conformity is required no less frequently than every four years. This short conformity determination report addresses transportation conformity requirements for Amendment KYTC #2 for the 2050 MTP and Amendment KYTC #4 to the FY2023-FY2026 TIP.

¹ The areas identified can be found in EPA's "Transportation Conformity Guidance for the South Coast II Court Decision", EPA-420-B-18-050, available on the web at: www.epa.gov/state-and-local-transportation/policy-and-technical-guidance-state-and-local-transportation.

TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY REQUIREMENTS

On November 29, 2018, EPA issued Transportation Conformity Guidance for the South Coast II Court Decision² (EPA-420-B-18-050, November 2018) that addresses how transportation conformity determinations can be made in areas that were nonattainment or maintenance for the 1997 ozone NAAQS when the 1997 ozone NAAQS was revoked, but were designated attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS in EPA's original designations for this NAAQS (May 21, 2012).

The transportation conformity regulation at 40 CFR 93.109 sets forth the criteria and procedures for determining conformity. The conformity criteria for MTPs and TIPs include: latest planning assumptions (93.110), latest emissions model (93.111), consultation (93.112), transportation control measures, or TCMs (93.113(b) and (c)), and emissions budget and/or interim emissions test (93.118 and/or 93.119).

For the 1997 ozone NAAQS areas, transportation conformity for MTPs and TIPs for the 1997 ozone NAAQS can be demonstrated without a regional emissions analysis, per 40 CFR 93.109(c). This provision states that the regional emissions analysis requirement applies one year after the effective date of EPA's nonattainment designation for a NAAQS and until the effective date of revocation of such NAAQS for an area. The 1997 ozone NAAQS revocation was effective on April 6, 2015, and the South Coast II court decision upheld the revocation. As no regional emission analysis is required for this conformity determination, there is no requirement to use the latest emissions model, or budget or interim emissions tests.

Therefore, transportation conformity for the 1997 ozone NAAQS for Clarksville Area MPO's 2050 MTP can be demonstrated by showing the remaining requirements in Table 1 in 40 CFR 93.109 have been met. These requirements, which are laid out in Section 2.4 of EPA's guidance and addressed below, include:

- Latest planning assumptions (40 CFR 93.110)
- Consultation (40 CFR 93.112)
- Transportation Control Measures (40 CFR 93.113) and
- Fiscal constraint (40 CFR 93.108)

LATEST PLANNING ASSUMPTIONS

The use of latest planning assumptions in 40 CFR 93.110 of the conformity rule generally apply to regional emissions analysis. In the 1997 ozone NAAQS areas, the use of latest planning assumptions requirement applies to assumptions about transportation control measures (TCMs) in an approved SIP. There are no TCMs in the Tennessee or Kentucky SIP for the Clarksville Area, meaning that the implementation of projects in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) will not interfere with timely implementation of TCMs.

CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS

The consultation requirements in 40 CFR 93.112 were addressed both for interagency consultation and public consultation. Interagency consultation was conducted with Federal Highway Administration, TN and KY Divisions, Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT), Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

² Available from https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-11/documents/420b18050.pdf.

(KYTC), Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, TN Department of Environment & Conservation (TDEC), Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Federal Transit Administration Region 4, TDOT and KYTC Multimodal, and the Clarksville Transit System. The IAC responded through emails to discuss the review of Amendments: KYTC #2 for the 2050 MTP and KYTC #4 for the FY2023-FY2026 TIP and this short CDR. The interagency consultation comments are included in Appendix C. Interagency consultation was conducted consistent with the Tennessee Conformity SIP and the Kentucky Conformity SIP.

Public consultation was conducted consistent with planning rule requirements in 23 CFR 450. In addition, as per CUAMPO's Participation Plan (PP) meeting guidelines were followed. The PP is available on the web at www.CUAMPO.com. The 14 - day public comment period opened on June 25, 2025 and closed on July 9, 2025.

TIMELY IMPLEMENTATION OF TCMs

Since neither the Tennessee nor Kentucky SIP include TCMs for the Clarksville MPA, timely implementation of TCMs is satisfied.

FISCAL CONSTRAINT

Transportation conformity requirements in 40 CFR 93 states that MTPs and TIPs must be fiscally constrained consistent with DOT's metropolitan planning regulations at 23 CFR part 450. Amendment KYTC #2 to the 2050 MTP is funded by federal and KYTC state funds with a total project cost estimate of \$30 million. In Appendix A, please find the amendment that show the project is fiscally constrained.

Amendment KYTC #4 to the FY2023-FY2026 TIP is funded by federal and KYTC state funds with a total project cost estimate of \$30 million. The additional \$7 million funds being added to Construction for 2026 are within the \$30 million total cost estimate. In Appendix B, please find the amendment that show the project is fiscally constrained.

CONCLUSION

This conformity demonstration for Amendment KYTC #2 for the 2050 MTP and KYTC #4 for the FY2023-FY2026 TIP demonstrates that the planning documents meet the Clean Air Act and Transportation Conformity rule requirements for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

Appendix A - Amendment KYTC #2, 2050 MTP

Appendix B – Amendment KYTC #4, FY2023-FY2026 TIP

Appendix C - IAC Comments

Appendix D - Federal Register - LMP Christian County, KY

APPENDIX A: AMENDMENT KYTC #2, 2050 MTP

TABLE 7: FISCALLY CONSTRAINED PROJECTS

New	
AmdKYTCZ	?
7-10-25	

Roadway	Limits	Project Description	Length	State	Total Stage (YOE) Cost	Funding Source
	Sta	ge 1 (2023 – 2026, TIP)				
SR-374 PROP	SR-76 to South of Dotsonville Rd	Right-of-Way	2.90	TN	\$3,200,000	HPP/STBG – S
Wilma Rudolph Blvd	Industrial Park Access Rd to SR-374	Adaptive Signal System	2.47	TN	\$955,440	CMAQ
Spring Creek Pkwy	Trenton Rd to Spring Creek	New 4/5 Lane Roadway	1.44	TN	\$13,000,000	Local
Spring Creek Pkwy	Spring Creek to Wilma Rudolph Blvd	New 4/5 Lane Roadway with Bridge	0.88	TN	\$13,000,000	STBG – L/Local
SR-48/Trenton Rd	SR-374 to I-24	Widen to 5 lanes	3.70	TN	\$23,000,000	STBG - S
SR-237/Rossview Rd	east of International Blvd, to east of Kirkwood Rd	Widen to 5 lanes	3.12	TN	\$9,002,211	STBG - L
1-24	KY/TN State line to SR-76	Widening 4 to 6 lanes – Design Only	11.63	TN	\$2,000,000	NHPP
I-24	I-24 @ KY-115 Interchange	Interchange Reconstruction Design and Study		KY	\$700,000	KY SPP- State Construction
Lafayette Rd	Walnut Grove Rd through Ft Campbell Gate	Widen from 2 to 5 Lanes	0.45	TN	\$2,330,000	STBG - L
Enhancement - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		TN	\$4,212,134	Varies
Safety - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		TN	\$21,061,226	Varies
Bridge - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		TN	\$57,918,301	Varies
Overlay - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		TN	\$42,122,451	Varies
Maintenance - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		TN	\$6,318,340	Varies
Reconstruction - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		TN	\$78,979,527	Varies
Enhancement - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		KY	\$121,579	Varies
Safety - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		KY	\$607,910	Varies
Bridge - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		KY	\$1,671,750	Varies
Overlay - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		KY	\$1,215,819	Varies
Maintenance - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		KY	\$182,372	Varies
Reconstruction - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		KY	\$2,279,659	Varies
	S	tage 2 (2027 – 2030)				
SR-12/Ashland City Rd	@ Excell Rd, Hickory Point Rd, and East Old Ashland City Rd	Intersection Improvements		TN	\$4,068,771	STBG - L
I-24	I-24 @ KY-115 Interchange	Reconstruct Interchange and add CTL	1.3	KY	\$36,300,000	NH/FBP
Varies	Varies	Signal Retiming Project in City of Clarksville		TN	\$93,074	CMAQ
Passenger Rail Study	Clarksville to Nashville	Study for Passenger Rail Feasibility		TN	\$93,074	CMAQ
	SR-374 PROP Wilma Rudolph Blvd Spring Creek Pkwy Spring Creek Pkwy SR-48/Trenton Rd SR-237/Rossview Rd I-24 I-24 Lafayette Rd Enhancement - TN Safety - TN Bridge - TN Overlay - TN Maintenance - TN Reconstruction - TN Enhancement - KY Safety - KY Bridge - KY Overlay - KY Maintenance - KY Reconstruction - KY SR-12/Ashland City Rd I-24 Varies	Sta SR-374 PROP SR-76 to South of Dotsonville Rd Wilma Rudolph Blvd Industrial Park Access Rd to SR-374 Spring Creek Pkwy Spring Creek Pkwy Spring Creek to Wilma Rudolph Blvd SR-48/Trenton Rd SR-374 to I-24 SR-237/Rossview Rd I-24 I-24 Lafayette Rd Enhancement - TN Safety - TN Bridge - TN Overlay - TN Waries Reconstruction - TN Enhancement - KY Safety - KY Waries Walnut Grove Rd through Pt Campbell Gate Varies Varies Waries Varies Waintenance - TN Varies Waries Varies Safety - KY Varies Waries Safety - KY Varies Waries Safety - KY Varies Waries Waries Varies Safety - KY Varies Waries Varies Varies Safety - KY Varies Varies Varies Varies Varies Varies SR-12/Ashland City Rd I-24 Varies Varies Varies Varies Varies Varies Varies Varies SR-12/Ashland City Rd I-24 Varies SR-12/Ashland City Rd I-24 Varies Varies	Stage 1 (2023 – 2026, TIP) SR-374 PROP SR-76 to South of Dotsonville Rd Right-of-Way Wilma Rudolph Blvd Industrial Park Access Rd to SR-374 Adaptive Signal System Spring Creek Pkwy Trenton Rd to Spring Creek SR-48/Trenton Rd SR-374 to I-24 Widen to 5 lanes SR-237/Rossview Rd East of international Blvd. to east of Kirkwood Rd I-24 KY/TN State line to SR-76 Widening 4 to 6 lanes – Design Only Interchange Reconstruction Design and Study Widen from 2 to 5 Lanes Enhancement - TN Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Maintenance - TN Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Reconstruction - TN Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Reconstruction - TN Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Reconstruction - TN Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Reconstruction - TN Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridge - KY Varies Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Bridg	Stage 1 (2023 – 2026, TIP) SR-374 PROP SR-76 to South of Dotsonville Rd Right-of-Way 2.90 Wima Rudolph Blvd Industrial Park Access Rd to SR-374 Adaptive Signal System 2.47 Spring Creek Pkwy Trenton Rd to Spring Creek New 4/5 Lane Roadway 1.44 Spring Creek Pkwy Spring Creek to Wilma Rudolph Blvd New 4/5 Lane Roadway with Bridge 0.88 SR-48/Trenton Rd SR-374 to 1-24 Widen to 5 lanes 3.70 SR-237/Rossview Rd east of International Blvd. to east of Kirkwood Rd east of Kirkwood Rd east of Kirkwood Rd Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 I-24 KY/TN State line to SR-76 Widening 4 to 6 lanes – Design Only 11.63 I-24 I-24 ® KY-115 Interchange Interchange Reconstruction Design and Study Life Agreete Rd Walnut Grove Rd through Pt Campbell Gate Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding Pt Campbell Gate Line-Item Operation and Mainten	Stage I (2023 – 2026, TIP) SR-374 PROP SR-76 to South of Dotsonville Rd Right-of-Way 2.90 TN Wilma Rudolph Blvd Industrial Park Access Rd to SR-374 Adaptive Signal System 2.47 TN Spring Creek Pkwy Trenton Rd to Spring Creek New 4/5 Lane Roadway 1.44 TN Spring Creek Pkwy Spring Creek to Wilma Rudolph Blvd New 4/5 Lane Roadway with Bridge 0.88 TN SR-48/Trenton Rd SR-374 to 1-24 Widen to 5 lanes 3.70 TN SR-287/Rossview Rd east of International Blvd. to east of Kirkwood Rd widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 R/7/IN State line to SR-76 Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 R/7/IN State line to SR-76 Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 R/7/IN State line to SR-76 Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 R/7/IN State line to SR-76 Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 R/7/IN State line to SR-76 Widen to 5 lanes 3.12 TN I-24 R/7/IN State line to SR-76 Widening 4 to 6 lanes - Design Only II.63 TN I-24 R/7/IN State line to SR-76 Widen from 2 to 5 Lanes The Campbell Gate Interchange Reconstruction Design and Study R/7 IN Interchange Interchange Reconstruction Design and Study R/7 IN Interchange Interchange Reconstruction Design and Study R/7 IN Interchange Interchange Reconstruction and Maintenance Funding TN Interchange Interchange Reconstruction Admintenance Funding Interchange Int	

Old Amalkytcz 7-10-25

TABLE 7: FISCALLY CONSTRAINED PROJECTS

MTP ID	Roadway	Limits	Project Description	Length	State	Total Stage (YOE) Cost	Funding Source
		Sta	ge 1 (2023 2026, TIP)				
6	SR-374 PROP	SR-76 to South of Dotsonville Rd	Right-of-Way	2.90	TN	\$3,200,000	HPP/STBG - S
50	Wilma Rudolph Blvd	Industrial Park Access Rd to SR-374	Adaptive Signal System	2,47	TN	\$955,440	CMAQ
91	Spring Creek Pkwy	Trenton Rd to Spring Creek	New 4/5 Lane Roadway	1.44	TN	\$13,000,000	Local
92	Spring Creek Pkwy	Spring Creek to Wilma Rudolph Blvd	New 4/5 Lane Roadway with Bridge	0.88	TN	\$13,000,000	STBG – L/Local
94	SR-48/Trenton Rd	SR-374 to I-24	Widen to 5 lanes	3.70	TN	\$23,000,000	STBG - S
95	SR-237/Rossview Rd	east of International Blvd. to east of Kirkwood Rd	Widen to 5 lanes	3.12	TN	\$9,002,211	STBG ~ L
96	I-24	KY/TN State line to SR-76	Widening 4 to 6 lanes – Design Only	11.63	TN	\$2,000,000	NHPP
97	1-24	I-24 @ KY-115 Interchange	Interchange Reconstruction Design and Study	**	KY	\$700,000	KY SPP- State Construction
101*	Lafayette Rd	Near Walnut Grove Rd west into Ft Campbell Military Reservation	Widen from 2 to 5 Lanes	0.44	TN	\$4,088,000	FLAP/STBG - L
1001	Enhancement - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		TN	\$4,212,134	Varies
1002	Safety - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	H+C	TN	\$21,061,226	Varies
1003	Bridge - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	44	TN	\$57,918,301	Varies
1004	Overlay - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	22	TN	\$42,122,451	Varies
1005	Maintenance - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	22	TN	\$6,318,340	Varies
1006	Reconstruction - TN	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	44	TN	\$78,979,527	Varies
1011	Enhancement - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	940	KY	\$121,579	Varies
1012	Safety - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding		KY	\$607,910	Varies
1013	Bridge - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	**	KY	\$1,671,750	Varies
1014	Overlay - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	元二	KY	\$1,215,819	Varies
1015	Maintenance - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	**	KY	\$182,372	Varies
1016	Reconstruction - KY	Varies	Line-Item Operation and Maintenance Funding	**:	KY	\$2,279,659	Varies
		S	tage 2 (2027 – 2030)				
55	SR-12/Ashland City Rd	@ Excell Rd, Hickory Point Rd, and East Old Ashland City Rd	Intersection Improvements	**	TN	\$4,068,771	STBG - L
609	Varies	Varies	Signal Retiming Project in City of Clarksville		TN	\$93,074	CMAQ
608	Passenger Rail Study	Clarksville to Nashville	Study for Passenger Rail Feasibility	**	TN	\$93,074	CMAQ
602	US 79/Wilma Rudolph Boulevard	Kraft St to I-24	Access Management and Safety Study	**	TN	\$93,074	HSIP

Amd KYTC &
TABLE 8: CLARKSVILLE URBANIZED AREA MPO VISIONARY (UNFUNDED) TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS
7-10-25

MTP	Roadway	Limits	Project Description	Total 2023 Cost	Length	State
				Cost		
211	8th St connector	Needmore Rd to Peterson Ln	New 2 Lane Roadway	\$13,807,206	2.56	TN
611	Dotsonville Rd	Chapel Rd to US 79/Dover Rd	Widen to 4 Lanes Divided	\$38,801,727	3.67	TN
105	I-24	KY/TN State line to SR-76	Widening 4 to 6 lanes	\$331,602,961	10.31	TN
403	1-24	1 Mile South of Dunlop Ln	New Interstate Interchange	\$30,000,000		TN
605	1-24	I-24 @ SR-48/Trenton Rd	Reconstruct Interchange	\$30,000,000	••	TN
404	1-24	SR-76 to SR-256 in Robertson County	Widen from 4 to 6 Lanes	\$210,234,316	6.54	TN
304	US 41A Bypass (Ashland City Rd)	US 41A/SR-112 to SR-13	Widen from 2/3 to 5 Lanes	\$46,134,446	5.59	TN
315	1-24	@ Dixie Bee Road	New interchange	\$30,000,000		TN
603	Old Russellville Pike	US 79/Kraft St to Dunbar Cave Rd	New 4 Lane Roadway, New Bridge, and Widen to 4 Lanes	\$43,121,752	1.65	TN
302	Oatts-Riggins Rd	KY-400 (State Line Rd) to KY-911 (Thompsonville Ln)	New 3 Lane Roadway	\$11,514,237	1.56	KY
203	KY-117	US 41A (Ft Campbell Blvd) to KY-115 (Pembroke-Oak Grove Rd)	New 5 Lane Roadway	\$37,830,572	2.91	KY
402	1-24	US 41A (Ft Campbell Blvd) to TN State Line	Widen from 4 to 6 Lanes	\$240,532,025	7.48	KY

TABL	LE 8: CLARKSVILL	LE URBANIZED AREA MPO VISIONA	RY (UNFUNDED) TRAN	ISPORTATIO	N IMPR	OVEN
MTP ID	Roadway	Limits	Project Description	Total 2023 Cost	Length	State
211	8th St connector	Needmore Rd to Peterson Ln	New 2 Lane Roadway	\$13,807,206	2.56	TN
611	Dotsonville Rd	Chapel Rd to US 79/Dover Rd	Widen to 4 Lanes Divided	\$38,801,727	3.67	TN
105	1-24	KY/TN State line to SR-76	Widening 4 to 6 lanes	\$331,602,961	10.31	TN
403	1-24	1 Mile South of Dunlop Ln	New Interstate Interchange	\$30,000,000		TN
605	1-24	I-24 @ SR-48/Trenton Rd	Reconstruct Interchange	\$30,000,000		TŃ
404	1-24	SR-76 to SR-256 in Robertson County	Widen from 4 to 6 Lanes	\$210,234,316	6.54	TN
304	US 41A Bypass (Ashland City Rd)	US 41A/SR-112 to SR-13	Widen from 2/3 to 5 Lanes	\$46,134,446	5.59	TN
315	1-24	@ Dixie Bee Road	New interchange	\$30,000,000		TN
603	Old Russellville Pike	US 79/Kraft St to Dunbar Cave Rd	New 4 Lane Roadway, New Bridge, and Widen to 4 Lanes	\$43,121,752	1.65	TN
93	1-24	I-24 @ KY-115 Interchange	Reconstruct Interchange and add CTL	\$22,500,000		KY
302	Oatts-Riggins Rd	KY-400 (State Line Rd) to KY-911 (Thompsonville Ln)	New 3 Lane Roadway	\$11,514,237	1.56	KY
203	KY-117	US 41A (Ft Campbell Blvd) to KY-115 (Pembroke-Oak Grove Rd)	New 5 Lane Roadway	\$37,830,572	2.91	KY
402	1-24	US 41A (Ft Campbell Blvd) to TN State Line	Widen from 4 to 6 Lanes	\$240,532,025	7.48	KY

NEW

TABLE 9: FINANCIAL SUMMARY

Amd KYTC 2 7/10/25

	Stage	1 (2023 - 2026	6 TIP)	Stage	2 (2027 - 20	30)	Stag)	
	Program Cost	Revenue	Balance	Program Cost	Revenue	Balance	Program Cost	Revenue	Balance
FLAP	\$1,664,000	\$1,664,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,493,719	\$2,493,119	\$0	\$8,246,148	\$8,246,748
HIP	\$0	\$801,769	\$801,769	\$0	\$1,149,898	\$1,149,898	\$0	\$3,803,359	\$3,803,359
NH	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,440,000	\$23,440,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$1,600,000	\$17,000,000	\$15,400,000	\$7,538,990	\$10,645,992	\$3,107,002	\$29,765,369	\$35,212,289	\$5,446,920
STBG - S	\$17,600,000	\$22,252,000	\$4,652,000	\$56,907,281	\$62,667,348	\$5,760,068	\$94,637,120	\$207,276,202	\$712,639,082
STBG - L	\$25,001,789	\$31,281,156	\$6,279,367	\$10,216,173	\$13,248,968	\$3,032,795	\$34,458,374	\$43,827,795	\$9,363,421
STBG -TA	\$0	\$1,878,233	\$1,818,233	\$0	\$2,882,789	\$2,882,789	\$0	\$9,535,006	\$9,535,006
CMAQ	\$0	\$955,440	\$955,440	\$148,918	\$1,766,529	\$1,617,611	\$0	\$5,842,906	\$5,842,906
HSIP	\$0	\$540,000	\$540,000	\$83,767	\$2,610,800	\$2,527,034	\$0	\$8,635,387	\$8,635,387
HSIP - R	\$0	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$0	\$129,078	\$129,078	\$0	\$426,934	\$426,934
HPP (Earmark)	\$7,920,000	\$2,400,000	\$480,000	\$0	\$2,296,488	\$2,296,488	\$0	\$7,595,778	\$7,595,778
State Match - TN	\$5,480,422	\$70,563,442	\$5,083,020	\$15,111,023	\$19,359,639	\$4,248,616	\$33,659,534	\$64,033,225	\$30,373,691
State Match - KY	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$1,600,000	\$8,915,192	\$9,455,255	\$540,062	\$4,643,996	\$6,401,779	\$1,757,783
Local Match -TN	\$8,266,000	\$8,311,766	\$45,766	\$2,554,043	\$26,472,297	\$23,918,254	\$2,857,043	\$87,558,791	\$84,701,748
_ocal -TN	\$26,000,000	\$32,886,161	\$6,886,161	\$46,668,237	\$47,165,357	\$497,120	\$152,232,203	\$156,002,389	\$3,770,186
KY FBP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,600,000	\$5,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
KY STBG-S	\$0	\$6,400,000	\$6,400,000	\$6,620,769	\$71,868,095	\$5,247,327	\$18,575,984	\$34,609,618	\$16,033,634
KY SPP-State Construction	\$0	\$7,390,000	\$7,390,000	\$4,943,773	\$10,139,332	\$5,195,560	\$21,911,761	\$29,568,217	\$7,656,456
Total Capital Improvements	\$87,532,211	\$145,953,967	\$58,421,756	\$152,448,165	\$217,090,984	\$64,642,819	\$392,741,384	\$708,569,823	\$315,828,43
Total Tennessee O&M	\$210,611,978	\$210,611,978	\$0	\$519,929,816	\$519,929,816	\$0	\$1,719,700,619	\$1,719,700,619	\$0
Total Kentucky O&M	\$6,079,089	\$6,079,089	\$0	\$18,063,104	\$18,063,104	\$0	\$55,601.900	\$55,601.900	\$0
Transit Capital	\$0	\$25,734,518	\$25,734,518	\$0	\$15,697,519	\$15,697,519	\$0	\$51,920,533	\$57,920,533
Transit O&M	\$22,308,128	\$22,308,128	\$0	\$17,341,653	\$17,341,653	\$0	\$57,358,608	\$57,358,608	\$0
Total Transit	\$22,308,128	\$48,042,646	\$25,734,518	\$17,341,653	\$33,039,172	\$15,697,519	\$57,358,608	\$109,279,141	\$51,920,53
Total MTP	\$326,531,406	\$410,687,680	\$84,156,274	\$744,082,737	\$824,423,075	\$80,340,338	\$2,225,402,511	\$2,593,151,483	\$367,748,97

TABLE 9: FINANCIAL SUMMARY



									7-10-6
	Stage	1 (2023 – 202	6 TIP)	Stage	e 2 (2027 – 20	30)	Stag	ge 3 (2031-2040	0)
	Program Cost	Revenue	Balance	Program Cost	Revenue	Balance	Program Cost	Revenue	Balance
FLAP	\$1,664,000	\$1,664,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,493,119	\$2,493,119	\$0	\$8,246,148	\$8,246,148
HIP	\$0	\$801,769	\$801,769	\$0	\$1,149,898	\$1,149,898	\$0	\$3,803,359	\$3,803,359
NHPP	\$1,600,000	\$17,000,000	\$15,400,000	\$7,538,990	\$10,645,992	\$3,107,002	\$29,765,369	\$35,212,289	\$5,446,920
STBG - S	\$17,600,000	\$22,252,000	\$4,652,000	\$56,907,281	\$62,667,348	\$5,760,068	\$94,637,120	\$207,276,202	\$112,639,082
STBG - L	\$25,001,789	\$31,281,156	\$6,279,367	\$10,216,173	\$13,248,968	\$3,032,795	\$34,458,374	\$43,821,795	\$9,363,421
STBG - TA	\$0	\$1,818,233	\$1,818,233	\$0	\$2,882,789	\$2,882,789	\$0	\$9,535,006	\$9,535,006
CMAQ	\$0	\$955,440	\$955,440	\$148,918	\$1,766,529	\$1,617,611	\$0	\$5,842,906	\$5,842,906
HSIP	\$0	\$540,000	\$540,000	\$83,767	\$2,610,800	\$2,527,034	\$0	\$8,635,387	\$8,63 5 ,387
HSIP - R	\$0	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$0	\$129,078	\$129,078	\$0	\$426,934	\$426,934
HPP (Earmark)	\$1,920,000	\$2,400,000	\$480,000	\$0	\$2,296,488	\$2,296,488	\$0	\$7,595,778	\$7,595,778
State Match - TN	\$5,480,422	\$10,563,442	\$5,083,020	\$15,111,023	\$19,359,639	\$4,248,616	\$33,659,534	\$64,033,225	\$30,373,691
State Match – KY	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$1,600,000	\$1,655,192	\$2,195,255	\$540,062	\$4,643,996	\$6,401,779	\$1,757,783
Local Match - TN	\$8,266,000	\$8,311,766	\$45,766	\$2,554,043	\$26,472,297	\$23,918,254	\$2,857,043	\$87,558,791	\$84,701,748
Local - TN	\$26,000,000	\$32,886,161	\$6,886,161	\$46,668,237	\$47,165,357	\$497,120	\$152,232,203	\$156,002,389	\$3,770,186
KY STBG-S	\$0	\$6,400,000	\$6,400,000	\$6,620,769	\$11,868,095	\$5,247,327	\$18,575,984	\$34,609,618	\$16,033,634
KY SPP- State Construction	\$0	\$7,390,000	\$7,390,000	\$4,943,773	\$10,139,332	\$5,195,560	\$21,911,761	\$29,568,217	\$7,656,456
Total Capital Improvements	\$87,532,211	\$145,953,967	\$58,421,756	\$152,448,165	\$217,090,984	\$64,642,819	\$392,741,384	\$708,569,823	\$315,828,439
Total Tennessee O&M	\$210,611,978	\$210,611,978	\$0	\$519,929,816	\$519,929,816	\$0	\$1,719,700,619	\$1,719,700,619	\$0
Total Kentucky O&M	\$6,079,089	\$6,079,089	\$0	\$18,063,104	\$18,063,104	\$0	\$55,601,900	\$55,601,900	\$0
Transit Capital	\$0	\$25,734,518	\$25,734,518	\$0	\$15,697,519	\$15,697,519	\$0	\$51,920,533	\$51,920,533
Transit O&M	\$22,308,128	\$22,308,128	\$0	\$17,341,653	\$17,341,653	\$0	\$57,358,608	\$57,358,608	\$0
Total Transit	\$22,308,128	\$48,042,646	\$25,734,518	\$17,341,653	\$33,039,172	\$15,697,519	\$57,358,608	\$109,279,141	\$51,920,533
Total MTP	\$326,531,406	\$410,687,680	\$84,156,274	\$707,782,737	\$788,123,075	\$80,340,338	\$2,225,402,511	\$2,593,151,483	\$367,748,972
									–

APPENDIX B: AMENDMENT KYTC #4, FY2023-FY2026 TIP

TIP Project Report 5/19/2025



TIP ID	PIN#	Length in Miles	Lead Agency	
CLK 16		1.3	KYTC	
State	County	311.52		
KY				
State Route	Total Project Cost			
I-24	\$30,000,000			
Danis - A Nissan				

Project Name

2-10.00 & 2-10.10; I-24/KY-115 Interchange (Exit 89)

Termini

1-24/KY-115 interchange (Exit 89); BMP: 88.10 to EMP: 89.40

Project Description

Improve capacity and mobility of the I-24/KY-115 interchange (Exit 89), in Christian County, KY (2022BOP) (2024CCR)

Long Range Plan #

Table 7 pg. 36;ID# 93

Conformity Status


Non-Exempt

						KYTC.
FY	Phase	Funding	Programmed Funds	Fed Funds	State Fund	Local Funds
2025	ROW	NH	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$300,000
2026	UTILITIES	NH	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$400,000
2026	CONST	NH	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$400,000
2026	CONST	FBP	\$7,000,000	\$5,600,000	\$0	\$1,400,000
Total		,	\$12,500,000	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$2,500,000

5/19/25, 3:20 PM

about:blank

TIP Project Report 5/19/2025



TIP ID	PIN#	Length in Miles	Lead Agency	
CLK 16		1.3	KYTC	
State	County			
KY				
State Route	Total Project Cost			
I-24	\$30,000,000			
Project Name				

Project Name

2-10.00; I-24/KY-115 Interchange (Exit 89)

Termini

I-24/KY-115 interchange (Exit 89); BMP: 88.10 to EMP: 89.40

Project Description

Improve capacity and mobility of the I-24/KY-115 interchange (Exit 89), in Christian County, KY (2022BOP) (2024CCR)

Long Range Plan #

Conformity Status

Table 7 pg. 36;ID# 93

Non-Exempt

FY	Phase	Funding	Programmed Funds	Fed Funds	State Fund	KYTC Local Funds
2025	ROW	NH	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$300,000
2026	UTILITIES	NH	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$400,000
2026	CONST	NH	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$400,000
Total			\$5,500,000	\$4,400,000	\$0	\$1,100,000





eSTIP Fiscal Constraints Report for STIP Period 2023 Clarksville MPO

Fund Code	Fiscal Year	Budget Total	Programmed Funds	Federal Funding	State Funding	Local Funding	Federal Carryover	Remaining Balance
5303	2023	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5303	2024	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5303	2025	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5307	2023	\$5,986,922	\$5,986,922	\$3,327,395	\$1,172,742	\$1,486,785	\$0	\$0
5307	2024	\$11,905,951	\$11,905,951	\$7,272,784	\$2,368,825	\$2,264,342	\$0	\$0
5307	2025	\$14,308,931	\$14,308,931	\$6,868,716	\$3,290,107	\$4,150,108	\$0	\$0
5307	2026	\$14,157,424	\$14,157,424	\$8,259,939	\$1,893,742	\$4,003,743	\$0	\$0
5310	2023	\$316,476	\$316,476	\$263,158	\$26,659	\$26,659	\$0	\$0
5310	2024	\$506,774	\$506,774	\$453,514	\$26,630	\$26,630	\$0	\$0
5310	2025	\$606,300	\$606,300	\$485,040	\$60,630	\$60,630	\$0	\$0
5310	2026	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$240,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$0	\$0
5339	2023	\$628,152	\$628,152	\$502,521	\$62,815	\$62,816	\$0	\$0
5339	2024	\$1,187,663	\$1,187,663	\$950,130	\$118,766	\$118,767	\$0	\$0
5339	2025	\$2,682,480	\$2,682,480	\$2,145,984	\$373,248	\$163,248	\$0	\$0
5339	2026	\$218,000	\$218,000	\$174,400	\$21,800	\$21,800	\$0	\$0
APD	2025	\$885,935	\$0	\$708,748	\$0	\$177,187	\$0	\$885,935
CMAQ	2023	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CMAQ	2024	\$955,440	\$955,440	\$955,440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CRP	2023	\$956,599	\$700,000	\$765,279	\$0	\$191,320	\$0	\$256,599
CRP	2024	\$848,830	\$848,830	\$679,064	\$0	\$169,766	\$205,279	\$0
CRP	2025	\$604,076	\$0	\$483,261	\$0	\$120,815	\$0	\$604,076
FBP	2026	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$5,600,000	\$0	\$1,400,000	CYTC Motech	\$0
FLAP	2023	\$2,080,000	\$2,080,000	\$1,664,000	\$0	\$416,000	\$0	\$0
HIP	2023	\$1,002,211	\$1,002,211	\$801,769	\$200,442	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	2023	\$2,400,000	\$2,400,000	\$1,920,000	\$480,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	2023	\$480,000	\$480,000	\$432,000	\$48,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	2024	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$54,000	\$6,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	2025	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$27,000	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	2026	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$27,000	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP-R	2023	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$31,500	\$3,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP-R	2024	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$31,500	\$3,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP-R	2025	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$18,000	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP-R	2026	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$9,000	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
IMPROVE ACT	2023	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0



eSTIP Fiscal Constraints Report for STIP Period 2023 Clarksville MPO

Fund Code	Fiscal Year	Budget Total	Programmed Funds	Federal Funding	State Funding	Local Funding	Federal Carryover	Remaining Balance
KYTC CRP	2023	\$165,453	\$165,453	\$132,362	\$0	\$33,091	\$0	\$0
KYTC CRP	2024	\$85,215	\$85,215	\$68,172	\$0	\$17,043	\$0	\$0
LOCAL	2023	\$24,440,000	\$22,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$24,440,000	\$0	\$1,640,000
LOCAL	2024	\$10,237,161	\$10,237,161	\$0	\$0	\$10,237,161	\$0	\$0
LOCAL	2025	\$22,000,000	\$22,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$22,000,000	\$0	\$0
LOCAL	2026	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NH	2025	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$300,000	YTT MOTESO	\$0
NH	2026	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$3,200,000	\$0	\$800,000	YTC matcheso	\$0
NHPP	2023	\$14,075,000	\$14,075,000	\$11,260,000	\$2,815,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	2024	\$2,910,000	\$2,910,000	\$2,328,000	\$582,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	2025	\$2,375,000	\$2,375,000	\$1,900,000	\$475,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	2026	\$1,890,000	\$1,890,000	\$1,512,000	\$378,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	2023	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	2024	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	2025	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	2026	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SPP	2023	\$2,660,000	\$2,060,000	\$0	\$2,660,000	\$0	\$0	\$600,000
SPP	2024	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SPP	2025	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SPP	2026	\$4,730,000	\$4,730,000	\$0	\$4,730,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
STBG-KY	2024	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$6,400,000	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$0
STBG-L	2023	\$28,927,728	\$9,541,760	\$23,270,105	\$0	\$5,657,623	\$21,070,105	\$19,385,968
STBG-L	2024	\$20,672,664	\$4,186,900	\$19,578,131	\$0	\$1,094,533	\$15,636,697	\$16,485,764
STBG-L	2025	\$21,253,940	\$0	\$20,248,874	\$0	\$1,005,066	\$16,228,611	\$21,253,940
STBG-L	2026	\$23,550,765	\$0	\$22,748,874	\$0	\$801,B91	\$20,248,874	\$23,550,765
STBG-S	2023	\$4,326,000	\$4,326,000	\$3,460,800	\$865,200	\$0	\$0	\$0
STBG-S	2024	\$31,535,250	\$31,535,250	\$25,228,200	\$6,307,050	\$0	\$0	\$0
STBG-S	2025	\$2,163,000	\$2,163,000	\$1,730,400	\$432,600	\$0	\$0	\$0
STBG-S	2026	\$290,750	\$290,750	\$232,600	\$58,150	\$0	\$0	\$0
TAP	2024	\$5,822,544	\$5,822,544	\$4,658,035	\$0	\$1,164,509	\$0	\$0
TAP	2025	\$849,000	\$849,000	\$679,200	\$0	\$169,800	\$0	\$0
TAP-L	2024	\$591,376	\$0	\$473,101	\$0	\$118,275	\$0	\$591,376
TAP-L	2025	\$1,076,940	\$36,935	\$956,172	\$0	\$120,768	\$473,101	\$1,040,005

APPENDIX B: IAC COMMENTS

Jill Hall <jill.hall@cityofclarksville.com>
Attachments

May 20, 2025, 10:24 AM

to Herman, Jay, Clair, Emma, Dianna, Simone, Sonya, Jill, Kelly, Justin, Gotvald, Andres, Marc, Tonya, Blake, Mikael, dasha, Thomas, AICP, Zachary

IAC:

Please review the attached short CDR for the KYTC Amendment #2 to the 2050 MTP to move the MTP Project ID #93 - I-24@KY114 Interchange to Table 7 under Stage 2 (2027-2030) from Table 8; and review KYTC Amendment #4 to the FY2023-FY2026 TIP to add FBP funds in the amount of \$7 million to the 2026 Construction phase, change the Long Range Plan# to update from the above 2050 MTP amendment, and make a project name from 2-10.00 to 2-10.00 & 2-10.10. KYTC has renamed it to show the 2 different sections within this one project. The total cost estimate does not change.

If possible please give your comments by June 6, 2025 or before. These 2 amendments will be adopted by the MPO's Executive Board on July 10, 2025.

Thank you for your assistance and review, Jill Hall

Marc Corrigan Attachments May 22, 2025, 3:49 PM

to Herman, Michelle, me, Jay, Clair, Emma, Dianna, Simone, Sonya, Jill, Kelly, Justin, Gotvald, Andres, Tonya, Blake, Mikael, dasha, Thomas, michael.ziarnek, Zachary

Hello Jill,

At the top of page 7, there is indication that the IAC met by way of a phone conference. Unless you plan a call on this, I recommend we change it to reflect review by email distribution on these amendments, as well as the statement on meeting minutes.

I have no other comments at this time.

Marc

Jill Hall <jill.hall@cityofclarksville.com> Jun 2, 2025, 8:05 AM (10 days ago)

to Marc, Herman, Jay, Clair, Emma, Dianna, Simone, Sonya, Jill, Kelly, Justin, Gotvald, Andres, Tonya, Blake, Mikael, dasha, michael.ziarnek, Zachary, Michelle, Thomas

Thank you Marc! I'll make the change. Jill

Oyler, Claire (EEC) Jun 2, 2025, 1:17 PM (10 days ago) to Blake, me

Good afternoon Jill,

KY DAQ has no comments at this time.

Thank you! Claire

APPENDIX C: FEDERAL REGISTER 1997 LIMITED MAINTENANCE PLAN -CHRISTIAN CO., KY

Subpart PP-South Carolina

■ 5. In§ 52.2120(e), amend the table by adding a new entry for "110(a)(1) and

(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2015 8-Hour Ozone NAAQS" at the end of the table to read as follows:

§ 52.2120 Identification of plan.

(el* • *

Provision	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation

110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastruclure Requirements for the 2015 8-Hour Ozone NAAQS.

9/7/2018 12/2/2021, [Insert cita \spadesuit on of publication] Addressing Prongs 1 and 2 of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) only.

[FR Doc. 2021-26144 Filed 12-1-21; 8:45 amj BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENT AL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

EPA-R04-OAR-2020--0428; FRL-8911--02-R4]

Air Plan Approval; TN; Montgomery County Limited Maintenance Plan for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone NAAQS

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Tennessee, through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), Air Pollution Control Division, on June 23, 2020. The SIP revision includes the 1997 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) Limited Maintenance Plan (LMP) for the Montgomery County, Tennessee portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville Area (hereinafter referred to as the "Montgomery County Area" or "Area"), The Clarksville-Hopkinsville Area is comprised of Montgomery County, Tennessee, and Christian County, Kentucky. EPA is approving Tennessee's LMP for the Montgomery County Area because it provides for the maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS within the Montgomery County Area through the end of the second 10year portion of the maintenance period. The effect of this action would be to make certain commitments related to maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS in the Montgomery County Area federally enforceable as part of the Tennessee SIP.

DATES: This rule is effective January 3, 2022.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R04-0AR-2020-0428. All

documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials can either be retrieved electronically via www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah LaRocca, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-8994. Ms. LaRocca can also be reached via electronic mail at larocca.sara@

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

epa.gov.

In 1979, under section 109 of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act), EPA established primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone at 0.12 parts per million (ppm), averaged over a 1-hour period. See 44 FR 8202 (February 8, 1979). On July 18, 1997, EPA revised the primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone to set the acceptable level of ozone in the ambient air at 0.08 ppm, averaged over an 8-hour period. See 62 FR 38856 (July 18, 1997). EPA set the

8-hour ozone NAAQS based on scientific evidence demonstrating that ozone causes adverse health effects at lower concentrations and over longer periods of time than was understood when the pre-existing 1-hour ozone NAAQS was set. EPA determined that the 8-hoUI ozone NAAQS would be more protective of human health, especially children and adults who are active outdoors, and individuals with a pre-existing respiratory disease, such as asthma.

Following promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, EPA is required by the CAA to designate areas throughout the nation as attaining or not attaining the NAAQS. On April 15, 2004, EPA designated the Clarksville-Hopkinsville Area, which included Montgomery County, Tennessee, and Christian County, Kentucky, as nonattainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, and the designation became effective on June 15, 2004. See 69 FR 23858 (April 30, 2004). Similarly, on May 21, 2012, EPA designated areas as unclassifiable/ attainment or nonattainment for the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS, EPA designated Montgomery County as unclassifiable/attainment for the 2008 8hour ozone NAAOS. This designation became effective on July 20, 2012. See 77 FR 30088 (May 21, 2012). In addition, on November 16, 2017, areas were designated for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The Montgomery County Area was designated attainment/ unclassifiable for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS, with an effective date of January 16, 2018. See 82 FR 54232 (November 16, 2017).

A state may submit a request to redesignate a nonattainment area that is attaining a NAAQS to attainment, and, if the area has met other required criteria described in section 107(d)(3](E) of the CAA, EPA may approve the

¹ In March 2008, EPA completed another review of the primary and secondary oxona NAAQS and lightened thorn further by towering the level for

both to 0.075 ppm. See 73 FR 16436 (March 27, 2006). Additionally. in Octobot 2015, EPA completed a review of the primary and se<:ondory one NAAQS and tigbtoned them by lowcrins the level for hoth to 0.070 ppm. See BO FR 65292 (October 26, 2015).

redesignation request.2 One of the criteria for redesignation is to have an approved maintenance plan under CAA section 175A. The maintenance plan must demonstrate that the area will continue to maintain the NAAOS for the period extending ten years after redesignation, and it must contain such additional measures as necessary to ensure maintenance and such contingency provisions as necessary to as sure that violations of the NAAQS will be promptly corrected. Eight years after the effective date of redesignation, the state must also submit a second ma!ntenance plan to ensure ongoing mamtenance of the NAAQS for an additional ten years pursuant to CAA section 175A(b) (i.e., ensuring mamtenance for 20 years after redesignation).

EPA has published long-standing governance for states on developing mamtenance plans.3 The Calcagni memo provides that states may generally demonstrate maintenance by either performing air quality modeling to show that the future mix of sources and emission rates will not cause a violatio1:1 of the NAAQS or by showing that projected future emissions of a pollutant and its precursors will not exceed the level of emissions during a year when the area was attaining the NAAQS [i.e., attainment year mventory). See Calcagni memo at page 9. EPA clarified in three subsequent guidance memos that certain areas could meet the CAA section 175A requirement to provide for maintenance by showing that the area was unlikely to violate the NAAQS in the future, using information such as the area's design value 4 being significantly below the standard and the area havina a historically stable design value. EPA

refers to a maintenance plan containing this streamlined demonstration as an LMP.

EPA has interpreted CAA section 175A as permitting the LMP option because section 175A of the Act does not define how areas may demonstrate maintenance, and in EPA's experience 1mplementmg the various NAAQS, areas that qualify for an LlvlP and have approved LMPs have rarely, if ever, experienced subsequent violations of the NAAQS. As noted in the LMP guidance memoranda, states seeking an LMP must still submit the other maintenance plan elements outlined in the Calcagni memo, including: An attainment emissions inventory, provisions for the continued operation of the ambient air quality monitoring network, verification of continued attainment, and a contingency plan in the event of a future violation of the NAAQS. Moreover, a state seeking an LMP must still submit its section 175A maintenance plan as a revision to its SIP, with all attendant notice and comment procedures. While the LMP guidance memoranda were originally written with respect to certain AAQS.6 EPA has extended the LMP interpretation of section 175A to other NAAQS and pollutants not specifically covered by the previous guidance

In a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), published on September 23, 2021 (86 FR 52864.), EPA proposed to approve Tennessee's LMP because the State made a showing, consistent with EPA's prior LMP guidance, that the Area's ozone concentrations are well below the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS and have been historically stable and that it met the other maintenance plan requirements. The details of Tennessee's submission and the rationale for EPA's action are explained in the NPRM. Comments on the September 23, 2021, NPRM were due on or before October 25, 2021. EPA did not receive any comments on the September 23, 2021, NPRM.

II. Final Action

EPA is teking final action to approve the Montgomery County Area LMP for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, submitted by TDEC on June 23, 2020, as a revision to the Tennessee SIP. EPA is approving the Montgomery County Area LMP because it includes a sufficient update of the various elements of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS Maintenance Plan approved by EPA for the first 10-year portion of th.e maintenance period (including emissions inventory, assurance of adequate monitori1,g and verification of continued attainment, and contingency provisions} and retains the relevant provisions of the SIP under sections 1 lO(k) and 175A of the CAA.

EPA also finds that the Montgomery County Area qualifies for the LMP option and that the Montgomery County Area LMP is sufficient to provide for maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS in the Clarksville-Hopkinsville Area over the second 10-year maintenance period (i.e., through 2025).

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EP\'s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Of£ice of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, ranuary 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq-);
- Does not contaln any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments. as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4];
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999):

^{&#}x27;Section 107[d)(3)(E) of the CAA sets out the requirements for redesignating a nonattairunent area ta attainment. They include attainment of the NAAQS, full approval of the applicable SIP pursuant ta CAA section 110(k), determination that improvement in air quality is a result of permanent and enforceable reductions in emissions, demonstration that the state has met all applicable secImn 110 and part D requirements, and a fully approved maintenance plan under CAA section 175A.

^{&#}x27;Jahn Calcagni. Director, Air Quality Management Division, EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS). "Procedll!es for Processing Requests to Redesignate Areas 10 Attainment," September 4, 1992 (Calcagni memo).

⁴ The ozone design value for a monitoring site is the 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maxunwn 8-hour average ozone conr:entrations. The design value for an ozone area is the h.in-hesl design value of any monitoring site in the a:ea.

^{&#}x27;See "Limited Maintenance Plan Option for Nonclass1 fiable Ozone Nanattainroent Areas," from Sally L. Shaver. OAQPS, November 16, 1994: "Limited Mafatenance Plan Option far Nonclassifiable CO Nanattairunent Areas," from

JOJcph Palsio. OAQPS. October 6, 1995: and "Limited Maintenonre Plan Option for Moderate PM,n Nanattairunent Areas," from Lydia Wegman, OAQPS. August 9, 2001. Copies of Lhe•n guidance memornnda can be found in the docknl for Lhis rulemaking.

[•] The prlor memos adcirassad: Unclassifiable areas under the I •hour 0z0ne NMQS, nonattainment areas far the PM,o (particulate matler with an acrodynnmic diameter less Lho.n 10 microns) NAAQS. and nonallllinmenl for the carbon monax.ide (CO) NAAQS.

^{&#}x27;See. e.g.. 19 FR 41900 (July 18, 20H) (appra, al of the second ten-year LMP far the CrantCounty I971 SO, maintenance area).

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preemet tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*. as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement

Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect. the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule reporl, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the IJ.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in lhe Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of lhis action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 31, 2022. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of **this** final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. See section 307(b](2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental Protection, Air Pollution Control, Incorporation by Reference, Intergoverrunental Relations, Nitrogen Oxides, Ozone, Reporting and Record.keeping Requirements, Volatile Organic Compounds.

Dated: November 26, 2021.

John Blevins,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52-APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

■ 2. In § 52.2220 amend the table in paragraph (el by adding, at the end of the table, the entry "1997 8-Hour Ozone Second 10-Year Limited Maintenance Plan for the Montgomery County, Tennessee Area" to read as follows:

§52.2220 Identification of plan.

(e) · · ·

EPA-APPROVED TENNESSEE NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Name of non-regulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
1997 8-Hour Ozone Second 10- Year Limited Maintenance Plan for the Montgomery County, Ten- nessee Area.	Montgomery County	6/1012020	12/2/2021, [Insert citation of publication).	

[FR Doc. 2021-26143 Filed 12-1-21: 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 656�1>-9

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

42 CFR Part 1OD RIN 0906-AB27

National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program: Adding the Category of Vaccines Recommended for Pregnant Women to the Vaccine Injury Table

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HR.SA). Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: On April 4, 2018, the Secretary of Health and Human Services

(the Secretary) published in the Federal Register a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VTCP or Program) Vaccine Injury Table (Table), consistent with the statutory requirement to include vaccines recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for routine administration in pregnant women. Specifically, the Secretary sought public comment regarding how the addition of this new category should be formatted on the Table. Through this final rule. the Secretary amends the Table to add "and/or pregnant women" after "children" to the existing language in Item XVII as proposed in the NPRM. This change will apply only to petitions for compensation under the VICP filed after the effective date of this final rule.

DATES: This rule is effective January 3, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tamara Overby, Acting Director, Division of Injury Compensation Programs, Healthcare Systems Bureau, HRSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 8N146B, Rockville, MD 20857, or by telephone (855) 266-2427. This is a tollfree number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act or 1986, title III of Public Law 99-660 (42 U.S.C. 300aa-10 et seq.). established the VICP, a Federal compensation program for individuals thought to be injured by certain vaccines. The stalute governing the VICP has been amended several times since 1986 and will be hereinafter



PART 52-APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart 5-Kentucky

- 2. [n § 52.920(d), amend the table by:
- a.Removing the entries for "Operating Permits for nine presses at the Alcan Foil Products facility-Louisville" and "Reynolds Metals Company"; and

■ b. Adding a new entry for "Board Order for LL Flex, LLC" at the end of the table.

The addition reads as follows:

§ 52.920 Identilication of plan.

(d] • * •

EPA-APPROVED KENTUCKY SOURCE-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

Name of source	Permit No.	State ettective date	EPA approval date	Explanations
Board Order for LL Flex, LLC	NIA	11/18/2020	9/30/2022, [Insert citation of publication].	

[FR Doc. 2022-2043! Filed 9-29-22; 8:45 am[BILLING CODE 6560-50

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04--0AR-2022--0167; FRL-10150-02-R4]

Air Plan Approval; Kentucky; Boyd and Christian County Limited Maintenance Plans for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone NAAOS

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving state implementation plan (SIP] revisions submitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky, through the Energy and Environment Cabinet (Cabinet). on March 29, 2021. The SIP revisions include the 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS or standards) Limited Maintenance Plans (LMPs) for the Kentucky portion (hereinafter referred to as the Boyd County Area) of the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY 1997 8hour ozone maintenance area (hereinafter referred to as the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY Area) and the Kentucky portion (hereinafter referred to as the Christian County Area) of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance area (hereinafter referred to as the Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Area). EPA is approving Kentucky's LMPs for the Boyd County and Christian County Areas because they provide for the maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS within these Areas through the

end of the second 10-year portion of the maintenance period. The effect of this action would be to make certain commitments related to maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone **NAAQS** in the Boyd County **and Christian** County Areas federally **enforceable** as part of the Kentucky SIP.

DATES: This rule is effective October 31, 2022.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2022-0167. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulotions.gov website. Although listed in the index. some Information may not be publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in h.ard copy form. Publicly available dockel materials can either be retrieved electronically via www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta. Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests th.at if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. excluding Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Josue Ortiz Borrero, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch. Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-

8085. Mr. Ortiz Borrero can also be reached via electronic mail at *ortizborrero.josue@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In 1979, under section 109 of the CAA, EPA established primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone at 0.12 parts per million (ppm). averaged over a 1-hour period. See 4'1 FR 8202 (February 8. 1979). On July 18, 1997. EPA revised the primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone to set the acceptable level of ozone ${\rm in}$ the ambient air at 0.08 ppm, averaged over ao 8-hour period. See 62 FR 38856 (July 18, 1997).1 EPA set the 8-hour ozone AAQS based on scientific evidence demonstrating that ozone causes adverse health effects at lower concentrations and over longer periods of Lime than was understood when the pre-existing 1-hour ozone NAAQS was set. EPA determined that the 8-bour NAAQS would be more protective of human health, especially for children and adults who are active outdoors, and for individuals with a pre-existing respiratory disease, such as asthma.

Fo'llowing promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS. EPA is required by the CAA to designate areas throughout the nation as attaining or not attaining Lhe NAAQS. On April 15, 2004. EPi\ designated the Huntington-Ashland, WV-K.Y Area, which consists of Boyd County in Kentucky and Cabell County and Wayne County in West Virginia. and the Clarksville-Hopkinsville. TN-

In March 2008. EPA complu1ed anothur review or l.fte primnry and se condray oione N,\AQS and Hghtonod them furth or by lowering the level for both to 0.075 ppm. Su.; 71 FR 16436 [March 27. 2008). Additionally. in October 2015. EPA Cofff?1 Ctod anoth 11r n,v,ew of the primnry and secondary ozono, 1\,\QS and lightened them by lowering lho level for both tn 0.070 ppm, Seo 60 FR 65292 (OClobor 26, 20 1:i).

KY Area, which consists of Christian County in Kentucky and Morrtgomery County in Tennessee, as nonattainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Those designations became effective on June 15, 2004. *See* 69 FR 23858 (April 30, 2004).

Similarly, on May 21, 2012, EPA designated areas as unclassifiable/ attainment or nonattainment for the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS. EPA designated the Boyd County and Christian County Areas as unclassifiable/attainment for the 2008 8hour **ozone** NAAOS. These designations became effective on July 20, 2012. See 77 FR 30088 (May 21, 2012). On November 16. 2017, areas were designated for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAOS. The Boyd County and Christian County Areas were again designated attainment/unclassifiable for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAOS, with an effective date of January 16, 2018, for both areas. See 82 FR 54232 (November 16, 2017).

Pursuant to the CAA, a state may submit a request that EPA redesignate a nonattainment area that is attaining a NAAQS to attainment, and, if the area has met the criteria described in section 107(d)(31(E) of the CAA, EPA may approve the redesignation request. One of the criteria for redesignation is for the area to have an approved maintenance plan under CAA section 175A. The maintenance plan must demonstrate that the area will continue to maintain the NAAQS for the period extending ten vears after redesignation, and it must contain such additional measures as necessary to ensure maintenance and such contingency provisions as necessary to assure that violations of the 1 AAQS will be promptly corrected. Eight years after the effective date of redesignation, the state must also submit a second maintenance plan to ensure ongoing maintenance of the NAAQS for an additional ten years pursuant to CAA section 175A(b) (i.e., ensuring maintenance for 20 years after redesignation).

EPA has published long-standing guidance for states on developing maintenance plans. The Calcagni memo a provides that states may generally demonstrate maintenance by either performing air quality modeling to show that the future mix of sources and emission rates will not cause a violation of the NAAQS or by showing that projected future emissions of a pollutant and its precursors will not exceed the level of emissions during a year when the area was attaining the NAAQS (i.e., attainment year inventory). See Calcagni memo at page 9. EPA clarified in three subsequent guidance memos that certain areas can meet the CAA section 175A requirement to provide for maintenance by showing that they are unlikely to violate the NAAQS in the future, using information such as the area design values 4 when they are well below the standard and have been historically stable.5 EPA refers to a maintenance plan containing this streamlined demonstration as an

EPA has interpreted CAA section 175A as permitting the LMP option because section 175A of the Act does not define how areas may demonstrate maintenance, and in EPA's experience implementing the various NAAQS, areas that qualify for an LMP and have approved LMPs have rarely, if ever, experienced subsequent violations of the NAAQS. As noted in the LMP guidance memoranda, states seeking a LMP must still submit the other maintenance plan elements outlined in the Calcagni memo, including an attainment emissions inventory, provisions for the continued operation of the ambient air quality monitoring network, verification of continued attainment, and a contingency plan in the event of a future violation of the NAAQS. Moreover, a state seeking a LMP must still submit its section 175A maintenance plan as a revision to its SIP, with all attendant notice and comment procedures. While the LMP guidance memoranda were originally written with respect to certain NAAQS,6 EPA has extended the LMP interpretation of section 175A to other AA.QS and pollutants **not** specifically covered by the previous guidance memos.⁷

In this case, EPA is approving Kentucky's LMPs because the Commonwealth has made a showing, consistent with EPA's prior LMP guidance, that ozone concentrations in the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY and Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Areas are well below the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS and have been historically stable and that the Commonwealth has met the other maintenance plan requirements. The Cabinet submitted the LMPs for the Boyd County and Christian County Areas to fulfill the CAA's second maintenance plan requirement.

On May 20, 2005, and September 29, 2006, the Cabinet submitted requests to EPA to redesignate the Christian County and Boyd County Areas, respectively, to attainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAOS. Those submittals included plans, for inclusion in the Kentucky SIP, to provide for maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS in the Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Area through 2016 and in the Huntington-Ashland, WV-TN Area through 2018. EPA approved the Boyd County and the Christian County Areas' Maintenance Plans and the Commonwealth's requests to redesignate these Areas to attainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, effective September 4, 2007, and February 24, 2006, respectively. See 72 FR 43172 (August 3, 2007) and 71 FR 4047 (January 25, 2006), respectively. Kentucky's March 29, 2021, submittal contains the second 10-year maintenance plans for the 20-year maintenance period of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS to ensure continued maintenance for the Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY and Huntington-Ashland, WV-TN Areas. Section 175A(b) of the CAA requires

states to submit a revision to the first maintenance plan eight years after redesignation to provide for maintenance of the NAAQS for ten additional years following the end of the first 10-year period. However, EPA's final implementation rule for the 2008 a-hour ozone NAAQS revoked the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS and stated that one consequence of revocation was that areas that had been redesignated to attainment (i.e., maintenance areas) for

^{&#}x27;Section 107(dl(3)[E) of the CAA sets out the requirements for redesignating a nonatainment area to attainment. They include attainment of the NAAQS, full approval of the applicable S[P pursuant to CAA section 110(k), determination that improvement in air quality is a resule of pennammt and enforceable reductions in emission demonstration that the state has met all applicable section 110 and part D requirements, and a fully approved maintenance plan under CAA section 175A

^{&#}x27;John Calcagni Director. Air Quality Management Division, EPA Office of Air Quality

Planning and Standards (OAQPS), "Procedures for Processing Requests to Redesignate Areas to Attainment." September 4, 1992 (Calcagni memo).

⁻d The ozone design value for a monitoring site is the 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations. The design value for an ozone area is the highest design value of any monitoring site in the area.

s See "Limited Maintenance Plan Option for Nonclassifiable Ozone Nonattainment Areas." from Sally L. Shaver, OAQPS, November 16, 1994; Limited Maintenance Plan Option for Nonclassifiable CO Nonattainment Areas, from Joseph Paisie, OAQPS, October 6, 1995; and "Limited Maintenance Plan Option for Moderate PM₁₀ Nonaltainment Areas," from Lydia Wegman. OAQPS, August 9. 2001.

The prior memos addressed: unclassifiable areas under the I-hour ozone NAAQS, nonattairunent areas for the PM,o (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 microns)

NAAQS, and nonattainment for the carbon monoxide (CO) NAAQS.

^{&#}x27;See, e.g.. 79 fR 41900 (July 18, 20141 (approval of the second ten-year LMP for the Grant County 1971 SO, maintenance area).

the 1997 NAAQS no longer needed to submit second 10-year maintenance plans under CAA section 175A(b). See 80 FR 12264, 12315 (March 6, 2015).

In South Coast Air Quality Management District v. EPA, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) vacated the EPA's interpretation that, because of the revocation of the 1997 8hour ozone NAAQS, second maintenance plans were not required for "orphan maintenance areas," i.e., areas that had been redesignated to attainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS maintenance areas and were designated attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. South Coast, 882 F.3d 1138 (D.C. Cir. 2018). Thus, states with these "orphan maintenance areas" under the 1997 B-hour ozone NAAQS must submit maintenance plans for the second maintenance period. Accordingly, on March 29, 2021, Kentucky submitted second maintenance plans for the Boyd County and Christian County Areas that show that the Areas are expected to remain in attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS through 2027 and 2026, respectively.

In recognition of the continuing record of air quality monitoring.data showing ambient 8-hour ozone concentrations well below the 1997 8hour ozone AAQS in the Huntington-Ashland. WV-KY a.nd Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Areas, the Cabinet chose the LMP option for the development of second 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS maintenance plans. On March 29, 2021, the Cabinet adopted the second 10-year 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance plans and also submitted the Boyd County and the Christian County Areas' LMPs to EPA as revisions to the Kentucky SIP.

In a notice of proposed rulemaking [NPRM]. published on August 24, 2022 (87 FR 51933). EPA proposed to approve Kentucky's LMP because the State made a showing, consistent with EPA's prior LMP guidance, that the Area's ozone concentrations are well below the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS and have been historically stable and that it met the other maintenance plan requirements. The details of Kentucky's submission and the rationale for EPA's action are explained in the NPRM. Comments on the August 24, 2022, NPRM were due on or before September 14, 2022. EPA did not receive any comments on the August 24, 2022, NPRM.

I. Final Action

EPA is approving the Boyd County and Christian County Areas' LMPs for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAOS,

submitted by the Cabinet on March 29, 2021, as revisions to the Kentucky SIP. EPA is approving the Boyd County and Christian County Areas' LMPs because they include an acceptable update of the various elements of the 1997 8-bour ozone NAAQS Maintenance Plan approved by EPA for the first 10-year period [including emissions inventory, assurance of adequate monitoring and verification of continued attainment, and contingency provisions), and essentially carry forward all of the control measures and contingency provisions relied upon in the earlier plans.

EPA also finds that the Boyd County and Christian County Areas qualify for the LMP option and that the Boyd County and Christian County Areas' LMPs adequately demonstrate maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS through documentation of monitoring data showing maximum 1997 8-hour ozone levels well below the NAAQS and continuation of existing control measures. EPA believes that the Boyd County and Christian County Areas' 1997 8-Hour Ozone LMPs are sufficient to provide for maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS in the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY and Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Areas, respectively, over the second 10-year maintenance period, through 2027 and 2026, respe<:tively. and thereby satisfy the requirements for such a plan under CAA section 175A(b).

II. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of lbe \cl and applicable Federal regulations. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k): 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- [snot a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735 October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities

under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments. as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- · Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997):
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- · Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate. disproporlionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994),

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has iurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country. the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249. November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996. generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating lhe rule must submit a rule report. which includes a copy of the rule. to each House or the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action md other regulrad information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives. and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of lhe ruJe in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rulP." as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals £or the appropriate circuit by November 29, 2022. riling a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. *See* section 307(b)(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 23, 2022. **Daniel Blackman**,

Regional Administrator, Region 4.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52-APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart S-Kentucky

■ 2. In§ 52.920(e). amend the table by adding at the end of the table entries for "1997 8-Hour Ozone Second 10-Year Limited Maintenance Plan for the Kentucky portion of the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY Maintenance Area" and "1997 8-Hour Ozone Second 10-Year Limited Maintenance Plan for the Kentucky portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Maintenance Area" to read as follows:

§ 52.920 Identification of plan.

(el * * *

EPA-APPROVED KENTUCKY NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Name of non-regulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date/effective dale	EPA approval date	Explanations
1997 8-Hour Ozone Second 10-Year Limited Maintenance Plan for the Kentucky portion of the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY Maintenance Area. 1997 B-Hour Ozone Second 10-Year Limited Maintenance Plan for the Kentucky portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Maintenance Area.	Christian County		9/30/2022, [Insert citation of publication). 9/30/2022, [Insert citation of publication].	

[FR Doc. 1□22-21234 Filed 9-29-22·. 8:45 arnl BILLING CODE 65611-5CH'

ENVIRONMENT AL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R0S-OAR-2022-0416; FRL-9820-02-R9]

Limited Approval, Limited Disapproval of California Air Plan Revisions;
California Air Resources Board

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is finalizing a limited approval and limited disapproval of California Code of Regulations, Title 17, Division 3, Chapter 1, Subchapter 10 Climate Change, Article 4, Subarticle 13: Greenhouse Gas Emission Standards for Crude Oil and Natural Gas Facilities (Oil and Gas Methane Rule) into the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from crude oil and natural gas facilities. Under the authority of the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act), this action simultaneously approves a state rule that regulates-these emission sources and identifies deficiencies with the rule that must be corrected for the EPA to grant full approval of the rule. We are also finalizing disapprovals of the reasonably available control technology (RACT) demonstrations for the 2008 and 2015 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for sources covered by the EPA's 2016 Control Techniques Guidelines for the Oil and Natural Gas Industry (Oil and Gas CTG) for the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD). San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD). South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD). and the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD). DATES: This rule will be effective on October 31, 2022.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket No. EPA-R09-0AR-2022-0416. All documents in the docket are listed on the https:!lwww.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on

the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through https:// www.regulations.gov, or please contact lhe person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section for additional availability information. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with disabilities who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicole Law, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105. By phone: (415) 947-4126 or by email at law.nicole@epa.gov. Donnique Sherman, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105. By phone: (415) 947--4129 or by email at sherman.donnique@epa.gov. Sina Schwenk-Mueller, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105. By phone: (415) 947-4100 or by email at SchwenkMueller.Sina@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us," and "our" refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

I. Proposed Action
II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
III. EPA Action